

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)



**D.C. POLICY
CENTER**

[About \(https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/about/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/about/)

[Our People \(/our-people\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/our-people/)

[Events \(/events\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/events/)

[In the N](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/in-the-news/)

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)



WITH-A-BLUE-DOOR-HHJ-BLG_HGS?UTM_CONTENT=CREDITCOPYTEXT&UTM_MEDIUM=REFERRAL&UTM_SOURCE=UNSPLASH)

The Wilkes Initiative for Housing Policy at the D.C. Policy Center conducted a literature review of empirical research published in the last 20 years that examined “second-generation rent control” or “rent stabilization” laws, which permit annual rent increases and allow higher rents when units are vacated. This article provides a summary of findings from this review.

Housing prices in Washington D.C. and other coastal cities have surged, worsening affordability challenges.¹ As rents increase, the debate over rent control has intensified. Policymakers consider rent control as a tool to slow rent hikes and prevent the displacement of low-income households in high-cost areas. However, rent control policies often lead to unintended consequences, affecting the availability and quality of rental housing.

Rent control laws aim to stabilize rents and provide housing stability. While they achieve these goals for a subset of tenants, they also reduce profitability for housing providers,² prompting some to convert rental units into condominiums, neglect maintenance, or withdraw units from the market that require significant repairs. These responses can shrink the rental supply and increase rents for unregulated units, undermining rent control's intended benefits.³

Additionally, rent control can discourage tenant mobility and make rental housing costlier and harder to find for new residents, especially in urban areas with restrictive land-use policies. This creates a divided housing market where long-term tenants enjoy stable, affordable rents, even if their units no longer suit their needs, while newcomers face higher rents and fewer choices.

This review focuses on “second-generation rent control” or “rent stabilization” laws, which permit annual rent increases and allow for higher rent adjustments when units turn over.⁴ It draws on U.S. studies over the last 20 years, emphasizing empirical (and not theoretical) research on rent control.⁵

Impacts on the housing supply

Studies show that rent control primarily affects the rent-controlled stock itself. In response to lower returns, many housing providers convert properties under rent control into condominiums. In D.C., for example, the number of rent-controlled units have declined by 14 percent from 85,000 units in 1984 to about 72,878 in 2020.⁶ Similar patterns are seen in other cities like San Francisco, where expansion of rent control to cover providers with fewer than four units led to a reduction in the number of renters and an overall increase in citywide rents, as units were converted or demolished.⁷

Although new construction is often exempt from rent control, the possibility of future rent regulations can discourage developers from building new rental units. Assessing these impacts is complex due to various factors.⁸ Research from cities with and without rent control in New Jersey and California shows that cities with rent control experienced slower housing stock growth compared to cities without such laws, although results vary when adjusted for city size and other factors.⁹

Some studies argue that rent control does not necessarily hinder new housing development. For example, New York experienced a construction boom during federal housing price controls, and New Jersey saw increased building activity after enacting rent control.¹⁰ However, it has been pointed out that these studies often fail to isolate the effects of rent control from broader market trends like rising household formation and increased demand.¹¹

There is also evidence that rent control shifts new development or major renovations toward for-sale properties rather than rentals. For example, the nearly 10-percentage-point increase in homeownership rates from 1940 to 1945, during federal price controls, is often cited as an example of how rent control can distort markets.¹² To put this in context, that rise in homeownership is comparable to the growth seen over the entire 20th century. A 2016 study found similar distortions, showing that a 2.5 percentage point drop in rents due to price controls correlated with a 1 percentage point increase in homeownership rates.¹³

Additionally, a 2007 study on rent decontrol in Boston found that while lifting rent controls had minimal impact on new housing construction, it did shift investment away from rental housing toward other types of properties,¹⁴ suggesting that changes in rent control policies can significantly alter broader housing

market dynamics.

<https://www.dccollege.edu/> **Impacts on the quality of housing**

When rent revenue cannot cover operational costs, housing providers may cut back maintenance or delay renting units that require costly repairs.¹⁵ This problem is particularly pronounced in rent-controlled units, which are often older and more prone to deterioration.¹⁶

For example, the 2017 New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey (NYCHVS) found that 64 percent of rent-controlled units had maintenance deficiencies, compared to 47 percent of unregulated units. Rent-controlled units were also more than twice as likely to have three or more major maintenance issues (18 percent vs. 7.5 percent across seven different categories) even after adjusting for buildings age.¹⁷

Evidence indicates that stricter rent control regulations tend to be associated with a greater decline in housing quality. However, in areas with rent stabilization laws that allow for gradual rent increases rather than fixed price caps, the negative impact on housing quality is less severe.¹⁸ For instance, in New Jersey, where rent stabilization permits periodic rent increases and ensures providers receive a “fair” return, the link between rent control and declining housing quality is weaker, especially when factors like property values and foreclosure rates are considered.¹⁹

A study examining housing quality from 1978 to 1987 found that the decline in quality was directly related to the gap between controlled rents and market rates.²⁰ It also showed that providers were more likely to maintain their properties if they could negotiate buyouts with tenants or raise rents when tenants moved out. In many cases, long-term tenants took on maintenance responsibilities themselves, which improved unit conditions but transferred the upkeep burden from the provider to the tenant, undermining some of the financial benefits of rent control for tenants.

In Washington, D.C., an analysis of data from 1985 to 1987, during a period of halted rental housing production, found no statistically significant link between rent control and housing quality. Interestingly, units exempt from rent control during that time had higher overall rates of deficiencies, suggesting that factors other than rent control may have played a more significant role in determining housing quality.²¹

Impacts on rent levels and housing values

Rent control has been widely documented to lower rents in regulated units.²² A review of 31 studies found that 25 reported significant rent reductions due to rent control.²³ The extent of these rent discounts depends on factors such as housing demand, availability, and income levels. For example, in New York City, between 2002 and 2008, median rents in rent-stabilized units were 20 percent lower than in unregulated units,²⁴ with more substantial differences in Manhattan compared to other boroughs like the Bronx or Staten Island. Similar gaps are observed in D.C., with median rents in rent-controlled units in Wards 2 and 3 significantly exceeding those in Wards 7 or 8.²⁵ Over time, rent control tends to increase the gap between regulated and market-rate rents.²⁶

While depressing rents in controlled units, rent control can lead to higher rents in unregulated units. In New York, rents in unregulated units were found to be 22 to 25 percent higher than they would have been without rent control.²⁷ In Los Angeles, unregulated rents rose by over 46 percent after rent control was

imposed, for exceeding the predicted 24 percent rise without rent control.²⁸ Some studies suggest that these increases in unregulated rents may be temporary and diminish within three decades if new construction is exempt from rent control laws.²⁹

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)

Rent control also tends to decrease property values by capping potential rental income. For instance, when New York City implemented universal rent control, the sale prices of affected multi-family buildings dropped by over 17 percent.³⁰ In St. Paul, Minnesota, the introduction of rent control in 2021 led to a 7-to-13 percent decline in the value of rent-controlled properties, with broader market impacts contributing to a 5 percent reduction in average property values.³¹

Conversely, removing rent control can significantly boost property values. In Cambridge, MA, property values for both controlled and non-controlled units rose sharply after strict rent control laws that were in place since 1970 were repealed in 1994.³² During the rent control period, controlled properties were valued 45 to 50 percent lower than non-controlled properties in the same neighborhoods. After the repeal, property values increased by \$2 billion between 1994 and 2004, with \$1.7 billion of that increase occurring in non-controlled buildings in neighborhoods that had previously been subject to rent control.³³ This suggests that the economic costs of rent control were borne not just by controlled units but also by non-controlled properties in the same areas.³⁴

Impacts on tenure, displacement, and access to opportunity

Evidence shows that the longer a tenant has tenure, the more discount they see in their rents compared to market-rate rents. This incentivizes tenants in to stay in rent-controlled units for longer periods;³⁵ This effect is particularly strong among older households and racial minorities. By lowering housing costs, rent control allows tenants to allocate more of their income to non-housing needs, effectively increasing their disposable income.³⁶

However, the extended tenure can lead to inefficiencies. Tenants may remain in their units longer than necessary, passing up job opportunities or better housing options simply because of the artificially low rents. This can result in a misallocation of housing, with tenants occupying apartments that no longer meet their needs. In New York City, for example, about 20 percent of renters were found to be living in units that were not the right size for their household. Some tenants stayed in larger rent-controlled apartments at low costs, while others were stuck in smaller units due to a shortage of available larger rent-controlled units.³⁷

In some cases, rent control can accelerate displacement and economic segregation by incentivizing housing providers to withdraw properties from the rental market. For example, in San Francisco, the expansion of rent control to small multi-family housing units in neighborhoods with rapidly rising property values lead to a decrease in renters and an increase in owner-occupied housing, often through buyouts or condominium conversions.³⁸ This shift in housing stock can accelerate displacement and worsen income inequality, defying rent control's intended goals. These negative outcomes are often worsened by restrictive land-use policies that limit new housing construction.³⁹

Inequities in rent control

Rent control often struggles to effectively target lower-income households, meaning it doesn't always reduce housing costs for those who need it the most. While some research shows that tenants in rent-controlled units are more likely to be elderly or belong to minority groups,⁴⁰ the distribution of rent benefits is often poorly targeted. In cities like New York,⁴¹ Cambridge,⁴² and across California⁴³, both high- and low-income households often receive similar benefits, highlighting inequities in how rent control is applied.⁴⁴

In many cases, more educated and wealthier tenants benefit disproportionately from rent control, while lower-income tenants—who need the support the most—are left out.⁴⁵ The biggest beneficiaries tend to be long-term tenants, while new renters and those who move frequently are often excluded from these advantages.

Although rent control lowers rents in regulated units, it also creates significant market distortions. It can reduce the supply of rental housing, degrade housing quality, and increase rents in unregulated parts of the market. The challenge is to design policies that protect tenants without discouraging providers from investing in and maintaining their properties. While the debate over rent control continues, one thing is clear: rent control is a blunt policy tool, and its effects vary widely depending on local market conditions and how the laws are implemented.

Works cited

Ahern, K. & Giacoletti, M. (2022). Robbing Peter to Pay Paul? The Redistribution of Wealth Caused by Rent Control, NBER Working Papers 30083, Available at <https://www.nber.org/papers/w30083> (<https://www.nber.org/papers/w30083>).

Albon, R. P., & Stafford, D. C. (1990). Rent Control and Housing Maintenance. *Urban Studies*, 27(2), 233-240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00420989020080191> (<https://doi.org/10.1080/00420989020080191>).

Ambrosius, J. D., Gilderbloom, J. I., Steele, W. J., Meares, W. L., & Keating, D. (2015). Forty years of rent control: Reexamining New Jersey's moderate local policies after the great recession. *Cities*, 49, 121-133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.08.001> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.08.001>).

Ault, Richard, and Richard Saba. 1990. The Economic Effects of Long-Term Rent Control: The Case of New York. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics* 3(1): 25-41.

Ault, R., Jackson, J., Saba, R. (1994). The Effect of Long-Term Rent Control on Tenant Mobility, *Journal of Urban Economics*, Volume 35, Issue 2, 1994, Pages 140-158, ISSN 0094-1190, <https://doi.org/10.1006/juec.1994.1009> (<https://doi.org/10.1006/juec.1994.1009>).

Autor, D. Palmer, C. and Pathak, P. (2014). [Housing Market Spillovers: Evidence from the End of Rent Control in Cambridge, Massachusetts](https://economics.mit.edu/files/9760) (<https://economics.mit.edu/files/9760>). *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 122.

Brinklow, A. (2018). San Francisco Renters: More than 60 Percent Have Rent Control. SF-Curbed.

Available at <https://sf.curbed.com/2018/7/12/17565192/housing-needs-trends-report-rent-control-san-francisco> (<https://sf.curbed.com/2018/7/12/17565192/housing-needs-trends-report-rent-control-san-francisco>) (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>) (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)

Chen, R., Jiang, H. and Quintero, L. (2022). Measuring the Value of Rent Stabilization and Understanding its Implications for Racial Inequality: Evidence from New York City. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4077292> (<https://ssrn.com/abstract=4077292>).

Chew A. and Treuhft. S. (2019). Our Homes, Our Future: How Rent Control Can Build Stable, Healthy Communities,” Popular Democracy, Oakland, CA. Available at: <https://populardemocracy.org/news/publications/our-homes-our-future-how-rent-control-can-build-stable-healthy-communities> (<https://populardemocracy.org/news/publications/our-homes-our-future-how-rent-control-can-build-stable-healthy-communities>)

Diamond, R. (2018), What Does Economic Evidence Tell Us about the Effects of Rent Control? Available at: <https://www.brookings.edu/research/what-does-economic-evidence-tell-us-about-the-effects-of-rent-control/> (<https://www.brookings.edu/research/what-does-economic-evidence-tell-us-about-the-effects-of-rent-control/>)

Diamond R., Mcquade, T. and Qian, F. (2019). The Effects of Rent Control Expansion on Tenants, Landlords, and Inequality: Evidence from San Francisco. American Economic Review vol. 109, no 9:3365-94 DOI: 10.1257/aer.20181289

Dittmann Tracy, M. (2024). Home prices are still rising in 85% of U.S. cities. National Association of Realtors. <https://www.nar.realtor/magazine/real-estate-news/home-prices-are-still-rising-in-85-of-us-cities> (<https://www.nar.realtor/magazine/real-estate-news/home-prices-are-still-rising-in-85-of-us-cities>)

Early, D. (2000). Rent Control, Rental Housing Supply, and the Distribution of Tenant Benefits. Journal of Urban Economics 48(2): 185-204.

Early, D. W., and Phelps, J.T.. (1999). Rent Regulations’ Pricing Effect in the Uncontrolled Sector: An Empirical Investigation. Journal of Housing Research 10(2): 267-285.

Fallis, G., & Smith, L. B. (1984). Uncontrolled Prices in a Controlled Market: The Case of Rent Controls. The American Economic Review, 74(1), 193–200. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1803319> (<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1803319>)

Fetter, D. K. (2016). The Home Front: Rent Control and the Rapid Wartime Increase in Home Ownership. The Journal of Economic History, Cambridge University Press, vol. 76(04), pages 1001-1043, December.

Friedman, M. and Stigler, J. (1946). Rent Control and the Housing Shortage: A Commentary on “Roofs or Ceilings?” The Journal of Land & Public Utility Economics Vol. 23, No. 2 (May, 1947), pp. 214-21

Gilderbloom, J. and Ye, L. (2007). Thirty Years of Rent Control: A Survey of New Jersey Cities. Journal of Urban Affairs 29, no. 2: 207–20. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9906.2007.00334> (<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9906.2007.00334>).

Glaeser, Edward L. (2002). Does Rent Control Reduce Segregation? Available at

SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=348084>

(<https://ssrn.com/abstract=348084>) or <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.348084>

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)

(<https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.348084>).

Glaeser, E. and E. F. P. Luttmer (2003). The Misallocation of Housing Under Rent Control. American Economic Review, v. 93, no.4, pp. 1027-1046. DOI: 10.1257/000282803769206188

Howley, K. (2019). [Rent Control Law Sends New York Building Values Tumbling](https://www.housingwire.com/articles/49413-wsj-rent-control-law-sends-new-york-building-values-tumbling/)

(<https://www.housingwire.com/articles/49413-wsj-rent-control-law-sends-new-york-building-values-tumbling/>), The Wall Street Journal.

Jenkins, B. (2009). Rent Control: Do Economists Agree?, Econ Journal Watch 6, no. 1: 73–112. Available at:

<https://econjwatch.org/articles/rent-control-do-economists-agree> (<https://econjwatch.org/articles/rent-control-do-economists-agree>)

Kholodilin, K. (2022). Rent control effects through the lens of empirical research: An almost complete review of the literature, DIW Discussion Papers, No. 2026, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin

Kutty, N.K. (1996). The Impact of Rent Control on Housing Maintenance: A Dynamic Analysis Incorporating European and North American Rent Regulations. Housing Studies 11, no. 1 (January 1996): 69–88. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1080/02673039608720846> (<https://doi.org/10.1080/02673039608720846>)

Malpezzi, S. and Ball, G. (1993). Measuring the Urban Policy Environment: An Exploratory Analysis Using Rent Controls. Habitat International, 1993, 17, 39–52.

Moon, C.-G., & Stotsky, J. G. (1993). The Effect of Rent Control on Housing Quality Change: A Longitudinal Analysis. Journal of Political Economy, 101(6), 1114–1148. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2138574>

(<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2138574>)

Olsen, E. (1972). An Econometric Analyses of Rent Control. Journal of Political Economy Volume 80, Number 6. Nov. – Dec. Article DOI <https://doi.org/10.1086/259959> (<https://doi.org/10.1086/259959>)

Sayin, Y. (2020). Appraising the District’s rentals. D.C. Policy Center, Washington D.C. Available at

<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/appraising-districts-rentals/>

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/appraising-districts-rentals/>).

Sayin, Y. (2020). How would the “Reclaim Rent Control” proposals change the District’s rental housing landscape? D.C. Policy Center. Available at: <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/executive-summary-reclaim-rent-control-proposal/>

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/executive-summary-reclaim-rent-control-proposal/>).

Sayin, Y. (2019). Roughly 36 percent of D.C.’s rental housing units are rent-stabilized – D.C. Policy Center.

Available at: <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/rent-control-snapshot-2019/>

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/rent-control-snapshot-2019/>).

Sayin, Y. (2018). Taking Stock of the District's Housing Stock. D.C. Policy Center. Available at

<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/taking-stock/>

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/taking-stock/>).

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)

Schweitzer, B.W., Garrett, R.C., Carter, L. et al. (2023). An analysis of the impact of rent control on New York City housing. *Comput Stat* 38, 1643–1656. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-023-01397-7>

(<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-023-01397-7>).

Sims, D.P. (2007). “Out of Control: What Can We Learn from the End of Massachusetts Rent Control?”

Journal of Urban Economics 61, no. 1: 129–51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004>

(<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004>).

Sturtevant, L. (2018). The Impacts of Rent Control: A Research Review and Synthesis. National Multifamily Housing Council. <https://www.nmhc.org/globalassets/knowledge-library/rent-control-literature-review-final2.pdf>

(<https://www.nmhc.org/globalassets/knowledge-library/rent-control-literature-review-final2.pdf>)

Thornberg, C. et al., (2016). An Analysis of Rent Control Ordinances in California. California Apartment Association. Available at https://caanet.org/app/uploads/2016/02/Jan2016_Rent_Control_Study.pdf

(https://caanet.org/app/uploads/2016/02/Jan2016_Rent_Control_Study.pdf).

Turner, M. A. (1990) Housing Market Impacts of Rent Control: The Washington, D.C. Experience. Urban Institute Report 90-1. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute Press.

Waickman, C. R., Jerome, J. B. R., Place, R. (2018). Quality and Accessibility of Rent Stabilized Units. New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development.

<https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/about/policy-reports.page> (<https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/about/policy-reports.page>).

Willis, J. (1950). Short History of Rent Control Laws, 36 *Cornell L. Rev.* 54. Available at:

<https://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/clr/vol36/iss1/3> (<https://scholarship.law.cornell.edu/clr/vol36/iss1/3>).

Zapatka, K., & J. de Castro Galvao, J. (2023). Affordable Regulation: New York City Rent Stabilization as Housing Affordability Policy. *City & Community*, 22(1), 48-73. DOI:

<https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221123762> (<https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221123762>).

Endnotes

1. Dittmann Tracy, M. (2024). Home prices are still rising in 85% of U.S. cities. National Association of Realtors. <https://www.nar.realtor/magazine/real-estate-news/home-prices-are-still-rising-in-85-of-us-cities> (<https://www.nar.realtor/magazine/real-estate-news/home-prices-are-still-rising-in-85-of-us-cities>).
2. Factors that may impact the effects of rent control include whether rents are constrained by a ceiling or by the amount rent can increase per year, the types of buildings that are subject to rent control, how much of a locality's rental stock is regulated by rent control laws, regulations about rent increases in vacant properties, regulations around rent increases for building maintenance or improvements to the property, how long rent control laws have been in place, and eviction laws.

3. Sturtevant, L. (2018). The Impacts of Rent Control: A Research Review and Synthesis. National Multifamily Housing Council. <https://www.nmhc.org/globalassets/knowledge-library/rent-control-literature-review-final2.pdf> (<https://www.nmhc.org/globalassets/knowledge-library/rent-control-literature-review-final2.pdf>) (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>) (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)
4. For consistency, the term “rent control” will be used throughout this report to refer to these policies, including those currently in place in the District of Columbia.
5. All studies reviewed were based on statistical analysis, with theoretical papers excluded. The research included spans from 1972 to 2024.
6. Sayin, Y. (2019). Roughly 36 percent of D.C.’s rental housing units are rent-stabilized – D.C. Policy Center. Available at: <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/rent-control-snapshot-2019/> (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/rent-control-snapshot-2019/>); Sayin, Y. (2020). How would the “Reclaim Rent Control” proposals change the District’s rental housing landscape? D.C. Policy Center. Available at: <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/executive-summary-reclaim-rent-control-proposal/> (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/executive-summary-reclaim-rent-control-proposal/>).
7. San Francisco initially exempted small housing providers (with four or fewer units) from rent control. However, in 1994, the city extended rent control to these smaller providers. A study covering housing trends from 1995 to 2012 found that this extension resulted in a 15 percent reduction in the number of renters in newly regulated buildings and a 25 percent overall reduction in rent-controlled residents. Much of this decline was due to units being converted into condominiums or demolished, which contributed to a 7 percent increase in city-wide rents. Diamond R., Mcquade, T. and Qian, F. (2019). The Effects of Rent Control Expansion on Tenants, Landlords, and Inequality: Evidence from San Francisco. *American Economic Review* vol. 109, no 9:3365-94 DOI: 10.1257/aer.20181289
8. Glaeser, Edward L. (2002). Does Rent Control Reduce Segregation? Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=348084> (<https://ssrn.com/abstract=348084>) or <https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.348084> (<https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.348084>).
9. In New Jersey, cities with rent control saw a 6 percent decrease in housing stock between 1970 and 1990 before adjusting for city size. In California, cities with rent control experienced 9 percent slower housing stock growth than cities without it, though these results were only statistically significant when accounting for city size. Housing stock in cities with rent control increased over the period by 33 percent, while cities without rent control increased their housing stock by an average of 45 percent. City size is important in both jurisdictions as larger cities are more likely to have rent control ordinances. Ambrosius, J. D., Gilderbloom, J. I., Steele, W. J., Meares, W. L., & Keating, D. (2015). Forty years of rent control: Reexamining New Jersey’s moderate local policies after the great recession. *Cities*, 49, 121-133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.08.001> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.08.001>).
10. Gilderbloom and Ye compare the rate of construction between 1970 and 1972 (pre-rent control) and 1975 and 1977 (post-implementation of rent control ordinances). They find that while apartment construction fell by 52 percent in New Jersey cities which had implemented rent control policies, construction fell by 88 percent in cities that had not. Gilderbloom, J. and Ye, L. (2007). Thirty Years of Rent Control: A Survey of New Jersey Cities. *Journal of Urban Affairs* 29, no. 2: 207–20. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9906.2007.00334>.
11. Malpezzi, S. and Ball, G. (1993). Measuring the Urban Policy Environment: An Exploratory Analysis Using Rent Controls. *Habitat International*, 1993, 17, 39–52.

12. Freidman, M. and Stigler, J. (1946). Rent Control and the Housing Shortage: A Commentary on “Roofs or Ceilings?” *The Journal of Land & Public Utility Economics* Vol. 23, No. 2 (May, 1947), pp. 214-21
13. Fetter, D. K., 2016. The Home Front: Rent Control and the Rapid Wartime Increase in Home Ownership. (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>) *The Journal of Economic History*, Cambridge University Press, vol. 76(04), pages 1001-1043.
14. Sims, D.P. (2007). “Out of Control: What Can We Learn from the End of Massachusetts Rent Control?” *Journal of Urban Economics* 61, no. 1: 129–51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004>). For a review of economists’ disagreements on the impact of rent control legislation on the housing stock, see Jenkins, B. (2009). Rent Control: Do Economists Agree?, *Econ Journal Watch* 6, no. 1: 73–112. Available at: <https://econjwatch.org/articles/rent-control-do-economists-agree> (<https://econjwatch.org/articles/rent-control-do-economists-agree>).
15. Schweitzer, B.W., Garrett, R.C., Carter, L. et al. (2023). An analysis of the impact of rent control on New York City housing. *Comput Stat* 38, 1643–1656. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-023-01397-7> (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-023-01397-7>). Albon, R. P., & Stafford, D. C. (1990). Rent Control and Housing Maintenance. *Urban Studies*, 27(2), 233-240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00420989020080191> (<https://doi.org/10.1080/00420989020080191>)
16. Waickman, C. R., Jerome, J. B. R., Place, R. (2018). Quality and Accessibility of Rent Stabilized Units. New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development. <https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/about/policy-reports.page> (<https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/about/policy-reports.page>)
17. Waickman, C. R., Jerome, J. B. R., Place, R. (2018). Quality and Accessibility of Rent Stabilized Units. New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development. <https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/about/policy-reports.page> (<https://www1.nyc.gov/site/hpd/about/policy-reports.page>). Using the same survey data, Schweitzer, Garrett, Carter, 2023 found that rent controlled units had more utility, pest, and external damages than unregulated units. In fact, in many cases, the confidence intervals did not overlap at all. In general, external damages have been declining over time for all units, while reports of pests have increased. Schweitzer, B.W., Garrett, R.C., Carter, L. et al. (2023). An analysis of the impact of rent control on New York City housing. *Comput Stat* 38, 1643–1656. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-023-01397-7> (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-023-01397-7>).
18. Kutty, N.K. (1996). The Impact of Rent Control on Housing Maintenance: A Dynamic Analysis Incorporating European and North American Rent Regulations. *Housing Studies* 11, no. 1 (January 1996): 69–88. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673039608720846> (<https://doi.org/10.1080/02673039608720846>).
19. Ambrosius, Gilderbloom, Steele, Meares, & Keating (2015) found that most of the differences in rental prices, quality, and vacancy were related to income, racial demographics, and the market share of rental housing. The only variable authors included that measuring the quality of units was plumbing deficiencies. Ambrosius, J. D., Gilderbloom, J. I., Steele, W. J., Meares, W. L., & Keating, D. (2015). Forty years of rent control: Reexamining New Jersey’s moderate local policies after the great recession. *Cities*, 49, 121-133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.08.001> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.08.001>).
20. Moon, C.-G., & Stotsky, J. G. (1993). The Effect of Rent Control on Housing Quality Change: A Longitudinal Analysis. *Journal of Political Economy*, 101(6), 1114–1148. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2138574> (<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2138574>)
21. Turner, M. A. (1990). Housing Market Impacts of Rent Control: The Washington, D.C. Experience. Urban Institute Report 90-1. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute Press.

22. Autor, D. Palmer, C. and Pathak, P. (2014). **Housing Market Spillovers: Evidence from the End of Rent Control in Cambridge, Massachusetts** (<https://economics.mit.edu/files/9760>). Journal of Political Economy, vol. 122.; Diamond R., McQuade, T. and Qian, F. (2019). The Effects of Rent Control Expansion on Tenants, Landlords, and Inequality: Evidence from San Francisco. American Economic Review vol. 109, no 9:3365-94 DOI: 10.1257/aer.20181289; Early, D. (2000). Rent Control, Rental Housing Supply, and the Distribution of Tenant Benefits. Journal of Urban Economics 48(2): 185-204.; Sims, D.P. (2007). "Out of Control: What Can We Learn from the End of Massachusetts Rent Control?," Journal of Urban Economics 61, no. 1: 129–51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004>)
23. Kholodilin, K. (2022). Rent control effects through the lens of empirical research: An almost complete review of the literature, DIW Discussion Papers, No. 2026, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin
24. This was calculated using the data from Table 1, for the pooled sample spanning 2002 to 2008. The median rent for all renters was \$1,479, while the median rent for stabilized renters was \$1,176 (adjusted for 2019 dollars).
25. That year, median rents in rent-controlled units in Wards 2 and 3 (\$1,943 and \$1,831 respectively) carried a 90 percent premium over those in Wards 7 and 8 (\$962 and \$1,078 respectively), not controlling for unit size or age. Sayin, Y. (2020). Appraising the District's rentals. D.C. Policy Center, Washington D.C. Available at <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/appraising-districts-rentals/> (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/appraising-districts-rentals/>)
26. Zapatka, K., & J. de Castro Galvao, J. (2023). Affordable Regulation: New York City Rent Stabilization as Housing Affordability Policy. City & Community, 22(1), 48-73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221123762> (<https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221123762>)
27. Early, D. (2000). Rent Control, Rental Housing Supply, and the Distribution of Tenant Benefits. Journal of Urban Economics 48(2): 185-204.
28. Unregulated units in their sample increased by 13.7 percent, or less than a third as high as unregulated units. The baseline year for rent in this study is May 1978. Fallis, G., & Smith, L. B. (1984). Uncontrolled Prices in a Controlled Market: The Case of Rent Controls. The American Economic Review, 74(1), 193–200. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1803319> (<https://www.jstor.org/stable/1803319>)
29. Early, D. W., and Phelps, J.T.. (1999). Rent Regulations' Pricing Effect in the Uncontrolled Sector: An Empirical Investigation. Journal of Housing Research 10(2): 267-285.; Sturtevant, L. (2018). The Impacts of Rent Control: A Research Review and Synthesis. National Multifamily Housing Council. <https://www.nmhc.org/globalassets/knowledge-library/rent-control-literature-review-final2.pdf> (<https://www.nmhc.org/globalassets/knowledge-library/rent-control-literature-review-final2.pdf>)
30. Howley, K. (2019). **Rent Control Law Sends New York Building Values Tumbling** (<https://www.housingwire.com/articles/49413-wsj-rent-control-law-sends-new-york-building-values-tumbling/>), The Wall Street Journal.
31. Ahern, K. & Giacoletti, M. (2022). Robbing Peter to Pay Paul? The Redistribution of Wealth Caused by Rent Control, NBER Working Papers 30083, Available at <https://www.nber.org/papers/w30083> (<https://www.nber.org/papers/w30083>)
32. The referendum passed on a tight vote of 51 percent to 49 percent, but 60 percent of Cambridge residents favored keeping the ordinance. David H Autor, Christopher J Palmer, and Parag A Pathak, "**Housing Market Spillovers: Evidence from the End of Rent Control in Cambridge, Massachusetts**" (<https://economics.mit.edu/files/9760>)," Journal of Political Economy, vol. 122, 2014.
33. Ibid

34. Sims found similar results. Studying rents between 1985 and 1998, Sims found that rent levels of unregulated units that were near rent controlled buildings in Boston declined. Sims, D.P. (2007). "Out of Control: What Can We Learn from the End of Massachusetts Rent Control?," Journal of Urban Economics 61, no. 1: 129–51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004> (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>) (<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004>)
35. It is important to note that this encompasses a small number of people. Only 18 people remained at their address in this study, representing a 3.5 percentage point difference. Diamond, R. (2018), What Does Economic Evidence Tell Us about the Effects of Rent Control? Available at: <https://www.brookings.edu/research/what-does-economic-evidence-tell-us-about-the-effects-of-rent-control/> (<https://www.brookings.edu/research/what-does-economic-evidence-tell-us-about-the-effects-of-rent-control/>); Chew A. and Treuhft. S. (2019). Our Homes, Our Future: How Rent Control Can Build Stable, Healthy Communities," Popular Democracy, Oakland, CA. Available at: <https://populardemocracy.org/news/publications/our-homes-our-future-how-rent-control-can-build-stable-healthy-communities> (<https://populardemocracy.org/news/publications/our-homes-our-future-how-rent-control-can-build-stable-healthy-communities>); Zapatka, K., & J. de Castro Galvao, J. (2023). Affordable Regulation: New York City Rent Stabilization as Housing Affordability Policy. City & Community, 22(1), 48-73. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221123762> (<https://doi.org/10.1177/15356841221123762>); Kholodilin, K. (2022). Rent control effects through the lens of empirical research: An almost complete review of the literature, DIW Discussion Papers, No. 2026, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW), Berlin; In this study, 80% of the variation in mean expected tenure was attributable to rent control. Ault, R., Jackson, J., Saba, R. (1994). The Effect of Long-Term Rent Control on Tenant Mobility, Journal of Urban Economics, Volume 35, Issue 2, 1994, Pages 140-158, ISSN 0094-1190, <https://doi.org/10.1006/juec.1994.1009> (<https://doi.org/10.1006/juec.1994.1009>); Research from the D.C. Policy Center indicates longer tenancy in parts of D.C. where rent-controlled units make up over 75 percent of the housing stock. Using a Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test, we find that this difference in median tenancy between tracts with under 25 percent and over 75 percent of their housing comprised of rent-controlled units, is statistically significant at the 5-percent level, but not at the 1-percent level. Differences in rent increases will compound over time as rent controlled units are associated with longer tenant tenures. In an analysis of tenancy durations by census tract, renters who moved into their homes between 2000 and 2009 were 12 percentage points more likely to be living at the same address in 2018 if 75 percent of rental housing stock was rent controlled in their census tract. Additionally, higher percentages of rent controlled housing in a census tract were associated with smaller minority population loss. Between 2010 and 2018, D.C.'s minority population increased by 50,000 people, but this increase was limited to 63 census tracts. In 113 tracts in D.C., the share of people of color declined. A 10-percentage point increase in the share of rent-controlled units in a neighborhood is associated with a 1.6 percent increase in the share of people of color in a census tract. Sayin, Y. (2020). Appraising the District's rentals. D.C. Policy Center, Washington D.C. Available at <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/appraising-districts-rentals/> (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/appraising-districts-rentals/>)
36. Olsen (1972) uses a simple general equilibrium model to investigate the effects of rent control on the distribution of well-being and resource allocation, using New York City's 1968 housing market as a case study. The findings indicate that occupants of rent-controlled housing consumed significantly less housing service and more non-housing goods than they would have without rent control, resulting in a substantial increase in their real income. However, the author notes that the actual costs of housing under rent control are potentially higher than the controlled rents suggest, due to "bribes and similar

methods” used to secure controlled apartments, increased search times, and investments by tenants in upgrading their dwellings. These factors suggest that the financial advantage of rent control to tenants may be overstated because such additional costs diminish the surplus available for non-housing expenses. Consequently, the perceived benefits of rent control could be inflated, with the true extent of economic waste being larger than estimated. Olsen, E. (1972). An Econometric Analyses of Rent Control. Journal of Political Economy Volume 80, Number 6. Nov. – Dec. Article DOI

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)

<https://doi.org/10.1086/259959> (<https://doi.org/10.1086/259959>)

37. The degree of misallocation varies across NYC boroughs, with Manhattan showing the greatest amount of misallocation of rental units (and most binding rent control laws), and the Bronx showing the least amount of misallocation. Glaeser, E. and E. F. P. Luttmer (2003). The Misallocation of Housing Under Rent Control. American Economic Review, v. 93, no.4, pp. 1027-1046. DOI: 10.1257/000282803769206188; Ault, Jackson, and Saba 1994 estimated that a tenant in a rent controlled unit stayed there 18 years longer than a person in an uncontrolled unit. Ault, R., Jackson, J., Saba, R. (1994). The Effect of Long-Term Rent Control on Tenant Mobility, Journal of Urban Economics, Volume 35, Issue 2, 1994, Pages 140-158, ISSN 0094-1190, <https://doi.org/10.1006/juec.1994.1009> (<https://doi.org/10.1006/juec.1994.1009>).
38. Diamond, R. (2018), What Does Economic Evidence Tell Us about the Effects of Rent Control? Available at: <https://www.brookings.edu/research/what-does-economic-evidence-tell-us-about-the-effects-of-rent-control/> (<https://www.brookings.edu/research/what-does-economic-evidence-tell-us-about-the-effects-of-rent-control/>).
39. Diamond R., Mcquade, T. and Qian, F. (2019). The Effects of Rent Control Expansion on Tenants, Landlords, and Inequality: Evidence from San Francisco. American Economic Review vol. 109, no 9:3365-94 DOI:10.1257/aer.20181289
40. Ault, Richard, and Richard Saba. 1990. The Economic Effects of Long-Term Rent Control: The Case of New York. Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics 3(1): 25-41. ; Diamond R., Mcquade, T. and Qian, F. (2019). The Effects of Rent Control Expansion on Tenants, Landlords, and Inequality: Evidence from San Francisco. American Economic Review vol. 109, no 9:3365-94 DOI: 10.1257/aer.20181289
41. Glaeser, E. and E. F. P. Luttmer (2003). The Misallocation of Housing Under Rent Control. American Economic Review, v. 93, no.4, pp. 1027-1046. DOI: 10.1257/000282803769206188
42. Autor, D. Palmer, C. and Pathak, P. (2014). **Housing Market Spillovers: Evidence from the End of Rent Control in Cambridge, Massachusetts** (<https://economics.mit.edu/files/9760>). Journal of Political Economy, vol. 122.; Sims, D.P. (2007). “Out of Control: What Can We Learn from the End of Massachusetts Rent Control?,” Journal of Urban Economics 61, no. 1: 129–51. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004> (<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JUE.2006.06.004>). Sims (2007) found that 26% of households in rent controlled apartments were in bottom quartile of the income distribution while 30% of tenants in rent controlled units were in the top half of income distribution.
43. In California, for example, the presence of rent control did decrease the number of housing-burdened middle-income families (those earning between \$35,000 and \$75,000 a year) but had no significant effect on the number of lower-income families who were rent-burdened. Importantly, evidence suggests that a significant number of high-income residents reap the benefits of rent control. As of 2013, 57 percent of rent-controlled units in California were rented by middle- and high-income renters. In fact, low-income renters (57.1 percent of whom did not live in rent-controlled housing) were more likely to live in a property built before 1980—the cutoff date for rent control in the state—if it was in a city not subject to rent control policies. Thornberg, C. et al., (2016). An Analysis of Rent Control Ordinances in California. California Apartment Association. Available at

https://caanet.org/app/uploads/2016/02/Jan2016_Rent_Control_Study.pdf

(https://caanet.org/app/uploads/2016/02/Jan2016_Rent_Control_Study.pdf).

44. There are hints of this in the District of Columbia's housing market, which remains highly segregated.

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/>)

Similarly, in San Francisco, five neighborhoods host 60 percent of the entire city's affordable housing stock. For details, see Sayin, Y. (2018). Taking Stock of the District's Housing Stock. D.C. Policy Center.

Available at <https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/taking-stock/>

(<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/taking-stock/>); Brinklow, A. (2018). San Francisco Renters:

More than 60 Percent Have Rent Control. SF-Curbed. Available at

<https://sf.curbed.com/2018/7/12/17565192/housing-needs-trends-report-rent-control-san-francisco>

(<https://sf.curbed.com/2018/7/12/17565192/housing-needs-trends-report-rent-control-san-francisco>).

45. Chen, R., Jiang, H. and Quintero, L. (2022). Measuring the Value of Rent Stabilization and

Understanding its Implications for Racial Inequality: Evidence from New York City. Available at

SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=4077292> (<https://ssrn.com/abstract=4077292>).

Author

[Emilia Calma](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/people/emilia-calma/) (<https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/people/emilia-calma/>)

Director, The Wilkes Initiative for Housing Policy

D.C. Policy Center

Emilia is the Director of The Wilkes Initiative for Housing Policy at the D.C. Policy Center. Her research focuses on increasing housing, social policy, and workforce issues in the District of Columbia. Emilia has authored reports on many topics including TOPA, rent control, out-of-school-time programs, and D.C.'s criminal justice system. In addition, Emilia has worked at Georgetown University's Policy Innovation Lab and at the Montgomery County Council.

Emilia holds a Bachelor of Arts from Carleton College and Master of Public Policy from Georgetown University's McCourt School of Public Policy.

You can reach Emilia at emilia@dcpolicycenter.org (<mailto:emilia@dcpolicycenter.org>).

Related

[\(https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/)

PUBLICATIONS

[\(HTTPS://WWW.DCPOLICYCENTER.ORG/PUBLICATIONS/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/) |

HOUSING

[\(HTTPS://WWW.DCPOLICYCENTER.ORG/CATEGORY/HOUSING/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/category/housing/)

[Improving housing affordability by reforming Inclusionary Zoning](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/03/05/improving-housing-affordability-by-reforming-inclusionary-zoning-dhcd-2026/)

[\(https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/03/05/improving-housing-affordability-by-reforming-inclusionary-zoning-dhcd-2026/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/03/05/improving-housing-affordability-by-reforming-inclusionary-zoning-dhcd-2026/)

March 05, 2026 | Emilia Calma

PUBLICATIONS

[\(HTTPS://WWW.DCPOLICYCENTER.ORG/PUBLICATIONS/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/) |

HOUSING

[\(HTTPS://WWW.DCPOLICYCENTER.ORG/CATEGORY/HOUSING/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/category/housing/)

[D.C. non-profits find creative ways to aid the unhoused amid funding cuts | Washington Blade](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/02/26/dc-non-profits-find-creative-ways-to-aid-the-unhoused-amid-funding-cuts-washington-blade/)

[\(https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/02/26/dc-non-profits-find-creative-ways-to-aid-the-unhoused-washington-blade/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/02/26/dc-non-profits-find-creative-ways-to-aid-the-unhoused-washington-blade/)

February 26, 2026 | D.C. Policy Center

PUBLICATIONS

[\(HTTPS://WWW.DCPOLICYCENTER.ORG/PUBLICATIONS/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/) |

HOUSING

[\(HTTPS://WWW.DCPOLICYCENTER.ORG/CATEGORY/HOUSING/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/category/housing/)

[To address housing affordability, we need to consider singletons | Greater Washington](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/02/26/to-address-housing-affordability-we-need-to-consider-singletons-ggwash/)

[\(https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/02/26/to-address-housing-affordability-we-need-to-consider-singletons-ggwash/\)](https://www.dcpolicycenter.org/publications/2026/02/26/to-address-housing-affordability-we-need-to-consider-singletons-ggwash/)

February 26, 2026 | D.C. Policy Center

D.C. Policy Center | 1310 L St NW, Suite 325, Washington, DC 20005 | (202) 223-2233 | info@dcpolicycenter.org

©2026 D.C. Policy Center


[Privacy policy \(privacy-policy\)](#)

[Donate \(Donate\)](#)

[Subscribe \(Subscribe\)](#)

 [. \(https://twitter.com/dcpolicycenter\)](https://twitter.com/dcpolicycenter)

 [. \(https://www.facebook.com/TheDCPolicyCtr/\)](https://www.facebook.com/TheDCPolicyCtr/)

 [. \(https://www.linkedin.com/company/d.c.-policy-center\)](https://www.linkedin.com/company/d.c.-policy-center)